Plaintiff NewMark Merril Companies, Inc. ("Plaintiff") and Defendants Newmark & Company Real Estate, Inc. and Newmark Group, Inc. (together, "Defendants," and with Plaintiff, the "Parties") are pleased to report to the Court that, on March 3, 2025, the Parties reached a settlement agreement in principle that will result in the full resolution of their dispute and the dismissal of this entire action, with prejudice.

While a settlement has been reached, this is a breach of contract and trademark infringement case involving businesses operating across multiple states. The Parties have agreed to a framework for and draft of a new Co-Existence Agreement (to supersede and replace that which is the subject of this lawsuit) and are in the process of drawing up a separate Settlement Agreement along with multiple exhibits and schedules necessary to accomplish a full and complete resolution of this matter. Upon the execution of the new Co-Existence Agreement and separate written settlement agreement, the Parties will file a request for dismissal with prejudice, which will include a request that the Court retain jurisdiction to interpret and enforce the settlement. However, given the number of parties involved and attendant documents that need to be prepared, the Parties anticipate that it may take up to fourteen (14) days to complete and execute those agreements.

The Parties have met and conferred and agree that, in the interest of saving their and the Court's resources, their efforts are best spent on concluding the settlement, rather than simultaneously litigating the matter despite the impending fact discovery cut-off (March 13, 2025) and initial expert disclosure deadline (March 20, 2025), among other pretrial dates, particularly because the impending deadlines would require significant written discovery work and further document productions; proceeding with the more than half-dozen depositions currently scheduled to take place between now and the conclusion of the fact discovery cut-off would require cross-country travel and preparation of witnesses; and expert work slated to occur between now and March 20, 2025 would require the Parties to incur substantial costs that they fully expect will be

unnecessary in light of the settlement. Accordingly, the Parties agree that a brief stay 1 of the proceedings pending execution of the settlement agreement is appropriate under 2 the circumstances. 3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, subject to the 4 Court's approval, that:

- All proceedings be stayed at this time, including all deadlines set forth in the operative Scheduling Order dated December 26, 2024 (Dkt. 100) until March 17, 2025.
- 2. On or before March 17, 2025, the Parties will file either (i) a joint stipulation for dismissal of the action, with prejudice; or (ii) a joint status report and stipulation for further limited modification of the scheduling order that seeks the Court's approval of an extension of the current deadlines by approximately thirty (30) days.

## IT IS SO STIPULATED.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Ca	se 2:23-cv-02370-HDV-E	Document 107 #:2128	Filed 03/03/25	Page 4 of 7	Page ID
1 2	DATED: March 3, 2025		COOLEY LL	P	
3			D //D 11	Cl. ·	
4			By: /s/ Bobby Gh	<i>Ghajar</i> Jajar Givner-Forbes	
5			Colette G	hazarian	
6			CANTOD EIT	ZCEDALD SI	ECHDITIES
7			CANTOR FIT Nirav Sha	.h	ECURITIES
8			Gaurav Ro Matt Dext Mitchell M	ter Nobel	
9			Witteness 1	NODEI	
10			Attorneys for NEWMARK	Defendants & COMPANY	Z REAL
11			ESTATE, INC GROUP, INC	C. and NEWM	ARK
12			<b>,</b>		
13	DATED: March 3, 2025		SKIERMONT		
14			HAJIR A	K. MALMBER RDEBILI	AG
15 16			JOHN J.	O'KANE IV	
17			- Al	1912.	11/
18			By: JOHN J.	O'KANE IV	-10
19			Attorneys	for Plaintiff ar , NEWMARK	nd Counter-
20			INC.	, NEWWAKK	WERKILL,
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

## ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 5-4.3.4

Pursuant to L.R. 5-4.3.4, I attest that all other signatories listed, and on whose behalf the filing is submitted, concur in the filing's content and have authorized the filing. SKIERMONT DERBY LLP MIEKE K. MALMBERG HAJIR ARDEBILI JOHN J. O'KANE IV Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant, NEWMARK MERRILL, INC. 

Filed 03/03/25

Case 2:23-cv-02370-HDV-E

Ca	se 2:23-cv-02370-HDV	-E Document 107 #:2131	Filed 03/03/25 Page 7 of 7 Page ID				
1	R	Leal Estate, Inc., et al	mpanies, Inc. v. Newmark & Company l.				
2	Case No.: 2:23-cv-02370-HDV-E						
3	SERVICE LIST						
4	Bobby A. Ghajar Colette A. Ghazaria COOLEY LLP	n	Counsel for Defendants/Cross- Complainants Newmark & Company I				
5	1333 2nd Street, Sui		Estate, Inc. and Newmark Group, Inc.				
6	Santa Monica, California 90401 Email: bghajar@cooley.com						
7	Email: cghazarian@cooley.com Email: jerry.gonzalez@cooley.com						
8	Email: jalvarezlopez@cooley.com						
9	Rebecca K. Givner-Forbes COOLEY LLP						
10	1299 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W. Washington, DC 20004 Email: rgf@cooley.com						
11	Eman. Igi@cooley.	COIII					
12	Matthew F. Dexter Mitchell D. Nobel		Counsel for Defendants/Cross- Complainants Newmark & Company I				
13	Nirav S. Shah  CANTOR FITZGERALD  Complaints Newmark & Company I  Estate, Inc. and Newmark Group, Inc.						
14	110 East 59th Street, 7th Floor New York, New York 10022 Email: Matthew.Dexter@cantor.com Email: mitchell.nobel@cantor.com						
15							
16	Email: nirav.shah@						
17	Gaurav K. Reddy CANTOR FITZGEI	RALD					
18	18401 Von Karman Irvine, California 92						
19	Email: gaurav.reddy	@cantor.com					
20	Jeffrey A. Kobulnic	k	Counsel for Plaintiff/Cross-Defendant				
21	Matthew J. Soroky Heidy A. Nurinda		NewMark Merrill Companies, Inc.				
22	Jeffrey A. Kobulnick  Matthew J. Soroky Heidy A. Nurinda LEWITT, HACKMAN, SHAPIRO, MARSHALL & HARLAN  Counsel for Plaintiff/Cross-Defendant NewMark Merrill Companies, Inc.						
23	16633 Ventura Boul Encino, California 9	levard, 11th Floor					
24	Email: jkobulnick@ Email: msoroky@le						
25	Email: hnurinda@le	witthackman.com					
26							
27							
28							